EE 491 WEEKLY REPORT 5 Date: 27 February 2017 Group number: Dec1718 Project title: Security assurance case design tool

Client &/Advisor: Lotfi ben Othmane

Team Members &/Role:

- John Koehn: Concept holder
- Brandon Huegli: Team Lead
- Chiakang Hung: communication
- Jordan Lawrence: Webmaster

o Weekly Summary

This week, we worked on the project plan V1. We each contributed to different sections on the project plan V1, and reviewed it with the advisor. His feedback is being incorporated into the document, and will be included along with in class feedback in the next version of the plan.

Looking forward, we will continue working with plug in development and Graphiti to hopefully start real development of the first phase of the project in the coming weeks.

Past week accomplishments

- John Koehn: Worked on the draft of the project plan.
- · Brandon Huegli: Contributions to the draft of the project plan.

· Chiakang Hung: Wrote and updated timeline of the project plan. Experimented with Graphiti

· Jordan Lawrence: Worked with team on project plan. Worked with Graphiti tutorial.

<u>Pending issues</u>

- · N/A
- o Individual contributions

NAME	Individual Contributions	<u>Hours</u> <u>this</u> <u>week</u>	<u>HOURS</u> <u>cumulative</u>
John Koehn	Worked on project plan	2	16.5

Brandon Huegli	Project plan contributions	2	11
Chiakang Hung	Wrote and updated timeline of the project plan. Experimented with Graphiti	3	13
Jordan Lawrence	Contributed to project plan, worked on graphiti	4	16

o Comments and extended discussion

None at present time.

o Plan for coming week

· John Koehn: Spend lots of time on learning eclipse plug-in development and make a early prototype of the project.

• Brandon Huegli: Attempting to get some sort of anything working with Graphiti so that we can start working toward building our GUI

· Chiakang Hung: Work on graphiti along side with team

· Jordan Lawrence: Continue working on Graphiti, and hopefully gain enough understanding to begin real development.

o Summary of weekly advisor meeting

We reviewed the first version of the project plan, and got feedback on what was good and what needed improvement. Key feedback focused on the timeline, diagrams, challenges and previous literature sections.